

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,591	11/21/2003		Hans-Werner Schildmann	02-760-US2	3277
34704	7590	07/27/2005		EXAMINER	
BACHMAN & LAPOINTE, P.C.				MCKINNON, TERRELL L	
900 CHAPE	L STREET	·			
SUITE 1201				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW HAVEN, CT 06510				3743	

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		SY					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/719,591	SCHILDMANN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit .					
	Terrell L. Mckinnon	3743					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of 16 NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vortices to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	,						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 A	<u>pril 2005</u> .	·					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.						
, —							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•						
4) Claim(s) 23-51 is/are pending in the application	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-51</u> is/are rejected.	(.•					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	-					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 21 November 2003 is/a)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• = : :						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.	,					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior							
application from the International Burea		od III tilis Mational Otago					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate					
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/719,591

Art Unit: 3743

Response to Amendment

Receipt is acknowledged of applicant's amendment filed April 22, 2005. Claims 22-51 are pending and an action on the merits is as follows.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 22-51 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground of rejection.

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 23-51 provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 24-32, 34, 35, 37-39, 43 and 45-47 of copending Application No. 10/288,632. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that

Application/Control Number: 10/719,591

Art Unit: 3743

copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

A System and/or cleaning bodies for cleaning tubes of tube bundle type heat exchangers including a plurality of tubes arranged in parallel between two chambers and in which a fluid medium circulates at a temperature greater than 120 degrees C, comprising cleaning bodies for cleaning said tubes of deposits at inner walls of said tubes, wherein said cleaning bodies have contact surfaces for contacting inner walls of said tubes and removing said deposits, and wherein said cleaning bodies are made of a material which maintains said contact surfaces at temperatures greater than 120 degrees C.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed April 22, 2005 have been fully considered but they are most in view of the above-mentioned Rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terrell L. Mckinnon whose telephone number is 571-

Art Unit: 3743

272-4797. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Bennett can be reached on 571-272-4791. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Terrell L Mckinnon Primary Examiner Art Unit 3743 July 25, 2005